



Keady, SM., Waters, S. M., Hamill, R., Dunne, P. G., Keane, PG., Richardson, I., Kenny, DA., & Moloney, AP. (2017). Compensatory growth in crossbred Aberdeen Angus and Belgian Blue steers: Effects on the colour, shear force and sensory characteristics of *longissimus* muscle. *Meat Science*, 125, 128-136.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.11.020>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.11.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.11.020)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030917401630568X>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1 **Compensatory growth in crossbred Aberdeen Angus and Belgian Blue steers:**
2 **Effects on the colour, shear force and sensory characteristics of *longissimus***
3 **muscle**

4

5

6 S. M. Keady ^a, S. M. Waters ^a, R. Hamill ^b, P. G. Dunne ^b, M. G Keane ^a, R. I.
7 Richardson ^c, D. A. Kenny ^a and A. P. Moloney ^{a*}

8

9

10 ^aTeagasc, Animal and Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Grange, Dunsany,
11 Co. Meath, Ireland

12 ^bTeagasc, Food Research Centre, Ashtown, Dublin 15, Ireland

13 ^cDivision of Farm Animal Science, Department of Clinical Veterinary Science,
14 University of Bristol, Langford, Bristol BS40 5DU, UK

15

16

17

18 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +353 (0)46 9061100; Fax +353 (0)46 9026154.

19 aidan.moloney@teagasc.ie (A.P.Moloney)

20

21

22

23

24

25

Abstract

The effect of feed restriction (99 days) followed by compensatory growth during a 200 day re-alimentation period on the colour and sensory characteristics of meat from Aberdeen Angus \times Holstein-Friesian (AN) and Belgian Blue \times Holstein-Friesian (BB) steers was examined. Compensatory growth had no effect on muscle pH and temperature decline, chemical composition, drip loss, fat colour, or juiciness, but increased ($p = 0.009$) Warner-Bratzler shear force and decreased tenderness ($P = 0.08$) and overall flavour ($P = 0.03$). Compared to meat from BB steers, meat from AN steers had a higher intramuscular fat concentration and was rated similarly for tenderness, but higher for many of the flavour characteristics examined. While adjustment for intramuscular fat concentration removed some of these differences, genotype-specific flavour differences remained. It is concluded that genotype had greater effects of meat quality than the compensatory growth feeding regime imposed in this study.

Keywords: meat quality, compensatory growth, sensory analysis.

1. Introduction

Compensatory growth is the ability of an animal to undergo accelerated growth when offered feed ad libitum after a period of restricted feed intake (Hornick et al., 2000). In grass-based beef production systems, compensatory growth allows the realignment of feed demand from a time when feed is expensive (eg winter) to a time when feed is plentiful and cheap (spring/summer). As a result, there is a reduction in the cost of feeding the animal which can contribute to an increase in the profitability of the

production system. The literature suggests that compensatory growth is enhanced when the restriction period is relatively short (approximately 3 months) and not too severe (Hornick et al., 2000). There is considerable, but often conflicting, information on the effect of compensatory growth, and its underlying basis, on bovine meat quality, particularly its effect on meat tenderness (Sinclair et al., 2001; Hansen et al., 2006; Moloney et al., 2008). Moreover, research relating to the relative effect of compensatory growth on meat quality from breeds of differing maturity reared under a similar production system is limited. The different responses to compensatory growth across the studies cited above seem to reflect, at least in part, intramuscular fat concentration. We hypothesised that since early maturing breeds deposit more fat than late maturing breeds at a similar age, compensatory growth would have less of an impact on early maturing breeds.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to examine the effect of compensatory growth on sensory characteristics of *M. longissimus thoracis et lumborum* (LTL) muscle from Aberdeen Angus × Holstein Friesian (AN) and Belgian Blue × Holstein Friesian (BB) steers, representative of early and late maturing genotypes, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

All animal procedures were conducted under experimental licence from the Irish Department of Health and Children, in accordance with the Cruelty to Animals Act, 1876 and the European Communities Regulation 2002 and 2005. In addition, ethical approval was granted from the Animal Research Ethics Committee, University

College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin, Ireland. Animals were slaughtered in an EU-licensed abattoir, Meadow Meats Rathdowney, Co. Laois, Ireland.

2.1 Animal model and management

Sourcing and rearing of the animals used in the present study were described by Keady et al. (2011). In brief, male Spring-born progeny (n = 46) of Holstein-Friesian dams and sired by either Aberdeen Angus or Belgian Blue bulls were identified and sourced from Irish commercial herds in Autumn 2009. There was no over dominance of any particular sire within genotypes. The calves were castrated using the burdizzo method (Pang et al., 2009) within 1 mo of arrival. They were offered grass silage (228g dry matter (DM)/kg, 112 g crude protein (CP), 80 g ash, 557 g neutral detergent fibre (NDF), 351 g acid detergent fibre (ADF)/kg DM, DM digestibility 677 g/kg, pH 3.6) *ad libitum* plus 1 kg of concentrates (825 g DM/kg, 121 g CP, 43 g ash, 557 g NDF, 352 g ADF/kg DM) per head per day before commencing the study to allow adjustment to their new environment and recovery from castration. Mean age at the commencement of the study was 362 (SD. 15.5) and 369 (SD 19.4) days for AN and BB steers, respectively. Mean body weights were 295 (SD 30.0) and 287 (SD 48.6) kg for AN and BB, respectively. Within genotype, animals were blocked by weight and randomly assigned to 1 of 2 treatment groups in a 2 (genotypes) x 2 (feeding treatments) factorial design. One group (11 AN and 12 BB) was offered a high energy control diet consisting of the above concentrates *ad libitum* and 10 kg of grass silage per head daily (H-H) throughout the study. The second group (11 AN and 12 BB) was offered an energy restricted diet consisting of grass silage *ad libitum* plus 0.5 kg of concentrate per head per day for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to the high energy diet (H-H) until slaughter. The initial 99 days was considered the differential

feeding period. The subsequent re-alimentation period lasted 200 days with all animals slaughtered on day 299 of the study.

The animals were weighed at the start of the study (day 0), the end of the differential feeding period (day 99) and on 2 consecutive days before slaughter (day 299). Animals were also weighed every 2 to 3 weeks at the same time each morning before fresh feed was offered. On the morning of slaughter the steers were transported 130 km to The Meadow Meats commercial slaughter facility in Rathdowney, Co. Laois, Ireland. Animals were slaughtered (Halal ritual procedure) within one hour of arrival. Carcasses were hung by the Achilles tendon and moved to a chill room with an average ambient temperature of 3 °C, within one hour of slaughter. Approximately 6 hours post-mortem, the chill was set to °C.

2.2. Carcass temperature and pH post mortem

Starting at 1.5 hours *post mortem*, the temperature of the LTL muscle was recorded by making a scalpel incision between the 10th and 11th rib and inserting a temperature probe (Knick Portamess 913 thermometer, GmbH & Co., Berlin, Germany). The pH of the LTL was measured by insertion of a glass electrode attached to a portable pH meter (Knick Portamess 913 pH meter, GmbH & Co., Berlin, Germany), close to the insertion point of the temperature probe. The pH reading was automatically adjusted for carcass temperature. Temperature and pH were measured periodically for 8 hours post mortem and at 48 hours *post mortem*.

2.3 Collection of LTL samples

The right side of each carcass was cold-boned at 24 h *post mortem*. Three steaks were cut from the LTL each 2.5 cm in thickness, 30cm distal to the 10th rib. The adhering fat was removed from the steaks and subsequently used for fat colour analysis as described below. The first steak was immediately used for drip loss assessment while the second steak was used for muscle colour assessment. Following this the steak was vacuum packed, aged for 14 days at 4 °C, frozen at -20 °C and subsequently used for Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBSF) assessment. The third steak was vacuum packed, frozen at -20 °C and subsequently chemically analysed as described below. The remaining LTL with subcutaneous fat intact was vacuum packed immediately, aged for 14 days, frozen at - 20 °C and forwarded to the Division of Farm Animal Science, University of Bristol for sensory analysis.

2.4 Chemical composition of LTL

Intramuscular fat and moisture concentrations were determined from thawed LTL using the Smart System 5 microwave moisture drying oven and NMR Smart Trac Rapid Fat analyser (CEM Corporation, USA) using AOAC Official Methods 985.14 and 985.26 (1990). Protein concentration was determined using a LECO FP328 (LECO Corp., MI, USA) protein analyser based on the Dumas method and according to AOAC Official Method 992.15 (1990).

2.5 Muscle drip loss

Drip loss was measured using the hanging bag method (Honikel, 1998). In brief, samples of LTL of a standard size (4 cm × 4 cm × 2 cm) and weight (100 g) were cut and weighed at 48 hours post slaughter. Samples were suspended in plastic bags at 4

°C and were reweighed after 72 hours hanging. Drip loss was calculated as the percentage of weight lost over the 72 hour period.

2.6 Muscle and fat colour

A freshly cut sample of LTL (25 mm) was trimmed of adhering adipose tissue at 48 hours *post mortem*, wrapped with oxygen-permeable PVC film and permitted to bloom in darkness at 4°C, for 4 hours to permit oxygenation of myoglobin. Readings of 'L' (lightness), 'a' (redness) and 'b' (yellowness) values were measured and muscle hue angle ('H') and saturation ('C') were calculated as $\tan^{-1}(b/a)$ and $[(a)^2 + (b)^2]^{0.5}$, respectively on both the muscle and the trimmed adipose tissue using a Hunterlab UltraScan XE colorimeter (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Inc., Reston, VA, USA). Final conversion of hue angle from radians to degrees was achieved by multiplying $\tan^{-1}(b/a)$ by $180/\pi$ (Liu et al., 1996). The instrument was calibrated prior to measurements using its standard white calibration tile. Four readings were made on non-overlapping areas of each sample using the optical port (Ø2.54cm) and average values were reported as final readings. Diffuse illumination (D_{65} , 10°) with an 8° viewing angle was used. The spectrophotometer was used in reflectance mode and the specular component was excluded.

2.7 Warner-Bratzler shear force and cooking loss

Warner-Bratzler shear force was measured according to the procedure of Shackelford et al. (1994). In brief, steaks were trimmed of external fat, weighed and cooked in open vacuum pack bags in a circulating water bath (Grant instruments Ltd., UK) set at 72 °C, until their internal temperature reached 70 °C (assessed using a Minitherm H18751 temperature probe, Hanna Instruments Ltd., UK). Steaks were cooled to room

temperature, reweighed for determination of cooking loss and tempered at 4 °C overnight. Cooking loss was determined as the difference between the weight of the steak after cooking and its initial weight prior to cooking, expressed as a percentage. Seven cores (1.25 cm diameter) parallel to the direction of the muscle fibres were collected for each steak and each core was sheared using an Instron Universal testing machine (Model no. 5543, Instron Europe, High Wycombe, Bucks, UK) equipped with a Warner Bratzler shearing device. The crosshead speed was 5 cm/min. The highest and lowest shear force measurements were excluded in the calculation of mean values. For analysis of the data, Instron Series IX Automated Materials Testing System software for Windows (Instron Corporation, Bucks, UK) was employed.

2.8 Sensory and flavour analysis

On the day before sensory assessment, samples were thawed and steaks, 1.9 cm thick, were prepared. Steaks were cooked under a conventional grill, turning every 3 minutes until the internal temperature of the muscle reached 74°C as measured by a thermocouple probe. Samples, approximately 2 cm x 2 cm x 1.9 cm were then cut from the approximate centre of the steaks, avoiding areas of connective tissue, and served hot to the 10 member trained sensory panel. Each booth contained a computer screen and optical mouse as part of the computerised sensory system (Fizz, Version 2.10, Biosystems, France) for direct entry of sensory responses. See Table 1 for list of sensory and flavour terms assessed and a brief description of each.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were checked for normality using the UNIVARIATE procedure of statistical analysis software (SAS Institute, 2008). Ratings for livery, bitter, and rancid were

transformed by raising to the power of -0.25, 0.25 and -0.25, respectively (TransReg procedure, SAS, 2008). Data were analysed using mixed model methodology in PROC MIXED (SAS, 2008). Genotype, feeding treatment (H-H or L-H) and their interaction were included as fixed effects and sire of the animal was included as a random effect in the statistical model. For sensory data, “assessor” was also included. Where no significant interactions were observed, the data were reanalysed for main effects only. The Tukey critical difference test was performed to determine the existence of statistical differences between treatment means. For data with repeated measures (pH and temperature of carcasses at slaughter), measurement time was included as a repeated effect with an unstructured or compound symmetry covariance structure assumed among records within animal as appropriate. The choice of residual covariance structure was based on the magnitude of the Akaike Information Criterion (lowest is better). Data relating to the sensory and flavour characteristics were also analysed using intramuscular fat concentration as a co-variate. Additionally, Spearman correlation coefficients amongst meat quality values and production traits were determined using the CORR procedure of SAS.

3. Results

Unless otherwise stated, there was no significant interaction between feeding treatment and genotype for the variables examined.

3.1 Live weight and live weight gain (Table 2)

Results relating to live weight and live weight gain are described in detail in Keady et al. (2011). In brief, H-H steers were heavier than L-H steers at the end of the differential feeding period (d 99; $P < 0.001$) and this difference remained at slaughter

(d 299; $P = 0.04$) (Table 2). During the differential feeding period, H-H steers grew faster ($P < 0.05$) than L-H steers. Compensatory growth was evident during the re-alimentation period when live weight gain for H-H was lower ($P < 0.05$) than for L-H steers.

Live weight was not affected by genotype at any stage during the study; however, between d 131 and d 195 of the study, BB steers had greater ($P < 0.001$) live weight gain compared to AN steers.

3.2 Carcass characteristics (Table 2)

The carcasses from L-H steers were lighter ($P = 0.003$) compared to those from H-H steers. There was no difference for carcass conformation while carcasses from L-H steers tended ($P = 0.08$) to have less fat cover than those from H-H steers.

Carcasses from BB steers were heavier ($P = 0.02$), with superior carcass conformation scores ($P = <.0001$) and a lower fat cover ($P = <.0001$) compared to those from AA steers.

There was no effect of feeding treatment or genotype on any of the fat colour variables.

3.3 pH and temperature of LTL post mortem

There was no effect of feeding treatment ($P = 0.40$) or genotype ($P = 0.20$) on LTL pH and no time by feeding treatment or genotype-related interactions.

Temperature of LTL from H-H steers was higher ($p=0.01$) than that from L-H steers but there was no effect of genotype ($P = 0.46$) or no time by feeding treatment or genotype interactions. The pH/temperature profiles are shown together in Figure 1. All carcasses passed through pH 6.0 between 35 and 15 °C. Data were not collected at 4.5 and 6 hours *post mortem* due to instrument malfunction.

3.4 Chemical composition of LTL (Table 3)

There was no effect of feeding treatment on the chemical composition of the LTL. The concentration of protein and moisture was greater ($P = 0.04$) and the concentration of fat was lower (<0.0001) in LTL from BB steers compared to AN steers.

3.5 Muscle drip and cooking loss (Table 3)

There was no effect of feeding treatment on drip loss but drip loss was greater ($P = 0.0043$) for LTL from BB steers compared to AN steers. Cooking loss percentage was greater ($P = 0.03$) in LTL from L-H animals compared to H-H animals, but was unaffected by genotype.

3.6 Muscle colour and pH (Table 3)

Both a ($P = 0.02$) and chroma ($P = 0.03$) values were lower for LTL from L-H steers compared to LTL from H-H steers. Lightness ($P = 0.0001$), b ($P = 0.01$) and hue ($P < 0.0001$) values were lower and the a value was higher ($P = 0.01$) for LTL from AN steers compared to BB steers. There was no effect of feeding treatment or genotype on the pH of LTL measured at 48h *post mortem*.

3.7 Warner Bratzler shear force (Table 3)

The LTL from L-H steers had higher ($P = 0.009$) WBSF values compared to LTL from H-H steers. The LTL from BB steers had higher ($P = 0.04$) WBSF values compared to LTL from AN steers. When adjusted for differences in intramuscular fat concentration, there was no difference between breeds but the higher WBSF values for the LTL from L-H steers remained.

3.8 Sensory and flavour characteristics of LTL (Table 4)

Scores for ease of cutting ($P = 0.03$), swallow ($P = 0.04$) and overall flavour ($P = 0.03$) were higher for LTL from H-H steers compared to LTL from L-H steers.

Scores for juiciness ($P = 0.02$), beef ($P < 0.001$), flavour liking ($P = 0.0001$), overall liking ($P < 0.002$), juiciness on biting ($P = 0.0003$), moisture ($P = 0.001$), pulpy ($P = 0.0015$), greasiness on eating ($P = 0.0065$), greasy residue ($P = 0.0061$), swallow ($P = 0.02$), mouth feel ($P = 0.0003$), pulpy residue ($P = 0.0006$), greasy flavour ($P < 0.0001$), sweet ($P = 0.001$), dairy ($P < 0.001$) and overall flavour ($P = 0.0001$) were higher for LTL from AN steers compared to LTL from BB steers. Scores for abnormal ($P = 0.005$), toughness on biting ($P = 0.04$), toughness on eating ($P = 0.04$), particles ($P = 0.02$), bitter ($P = 0.007$), acidic ($P < 0.0001$), cardboard ($P = 0.0007$) and vegetable ($P = 0.01$) were higher in LTL from BB steers compared to LTL from AN steers.

Data relating to the sensory and flavour characteristics were also analysed using intramuscular fat concentration as a covariate (Table 5). In this analysis, the score for overall flavour ($P = 0.008$) was greater in LTL from AN steers compared to LTL from BB steers. Scores for beef ($P = 0.03$), flavour liking ($P = 0.03$), overall liking ($P =$

0.002), mouth feel ($P = 0.09$) and dairy ($P = 0.02$) were higher while scores for abnormal ($P = 0.06$) and acidic ($P = 0.03$) lower in AN compared to BB.

3.9 Correlation analysis

The relationships between meat quality characteristics and production variables are summarised in Table 6. In summary, carcass weight was not associated with any meat quality trait with the exception of cook loss for which a negative correlation was observed ($r = -0.33$; $P < 0.05$). Similarly, growth rate prior to slaughter (day 253 -299) was not correlated with the selected meat quality characteristics. There was a negative correlation between WBSF and intramuscular fat ($r = -0.41$; $P < 0.01$) and between WBSF and sensory tenderness ($r = -0.45$; $P < 0.01$). Intramuscular fat was negatively correlated with drip loss percentage ($r = -0.58$; $P < 0.001$) and positively correlated with sensory tenderness ($r = -0.32$; $P < 0.05$). Drip loss was negatively correlated with both sensory tenderness ($r = -0.45$; $P < 0.01$) and cook loss percentage ($r = -0.43$; $P < 0.01$). No statistically significant correlations were observed between pH measured at 48h *post mortem* and either production or meat quality variables.

4.0. Discussion

The hypothesis tested in this experiment was that compensatory growth would have less of an impact on aspects of quality of the LTL muscle from an early maturing breed, represented by AN sired steers, when compared to a late maturing breed, represented by BB sired steers. The general lack of significant interactions between genotype and feeding treatment do not support this hypothesis. Accordingly the emphasis in the discussion is on the main effects of feeding treatment and genotype.

322

323 *4.1.1 Muscle pH and temperature post mortem.*

324 If the temperature of the carcass falls too quickly and glycolysis is slow, meat
325 toughening (cold shortening) occurs (for a review see Maltin et al., 2003; Warner et
326 al., 2010). Alternatively, if the temperature decline is slow, and glycolysis is fast,
327 toughening of the meat due to heat shortening can also occur. The rate of decline in
328 pH and was similar for both genotypes and feeding treatments, indicating that anti-
329 mortem glycogen stores were similar in all groups (Moloney et al., 2008). All
330 carcasses were chilled at a rate appropriate to avoid *post mortem* deterioration in meat
331 quality (MSA, 2013). Sinclair et al. (2001) and Moloney et al. (2008) reported that
332 growth rate before slaughter had no effect on the pattern of decline of pH or
333 temperature *post mortem* which supports the results of the current study. Fatter
334 carcasses often cool more slowly compared to leaner carcasses (Lochner et al., 1980).
335 This did not occur in the present study although AN carcasses were fatter, albeit
336 lighter, than BB carcasses. In contrast, Cuvelier et al. (2006a) reported greater
337 temperature loss 1 hour post mortem in AN bull carcasses compared to BB bull
338 carcasses which was suggested to reflect the higher carcass weight of the latter.

339 The pH values of LTL at 48 h *post mortem* were within the 'normal' range (Warriss,
340 2010).

341

342 *4.1.2 Chemical composition of LTL*

343 The lack of difference in intramuscular fat concentration between feeding treatments
344 supports the findings of Moloney et al. (2008) and likely reflects the duration of the
345 re-alimentation period. The higher intramuscular fat concentration in muscle from AN
346 in the present study supports the findings of other studies for the same genotypes

(Keane et al., 2011) and reflects the maturity of the AN breed compared to the BB breed.

4.1.3 Muscle and fat colour

Muscle colour has a major influence on the decision to purchase meat (Carpenter et al., 2011). Moloney et al. (2008) reported no difference in LTL colour variables between steers offered different levels of feeding before slaughter which supports the results from the current study for *L*, *b* and hue. However, *a* (redness) and chroma values were lower for LTL from L-H steers compared to H-H steers. Hornick et al. (1998) also reported that compensatory growth in BB bulls resulted in differences in redness; however, this difference in redness was dependent on the length of the restriction and re-alimentation periods. Lehnert et al. (2006) reported that nutritional restriction in beef steers resulted in lower concentrations of type 2 (fast glycolytic) myofibres and consequently higher levels of type 1 (slow oxidative) fibres in LTL. However, during re-alimentation fibre concentrations returned to normal. The authors suggest that under-nutrition and weight loss in the bovine results in a mechanism that preserves slow-twitch fibres (Lehnert et al., 2006). Greater concentrations of slow-oxidative fibres result in lower redness, suggesting that perhaps the compensatory growth-based regime implemented here had permanent effects on fibre type. Further investigation of this observation is required.

Double muscled animals have a greater percentage of white muscle fibres compared to their conventional counterparts (West, 1974). Consequently, BB animals being heterozygous for double muscling, likely have lower myoglobin levels in their muscle and this may explain the higher *L* and lower *a* values for BB compared to AN steers.

The difference in redness between genotypes supports the findings of Keane et al. (2011) and Cuvelier et al. (2006a, b). However, Campion et al. (2009) found no difference in redness between AN and BB genotypes

Carotenoid consumption by cattle results in accumulation in adipose tissue and more yellow colour (for review, see Dunne et al., 2009). That no difference in carcass fat colour was observed between feeding treatments indicates that re-alimentation for 200 days, may have ‘diluted’ any effects on fat colour introduced during the differential feeding period. However, whether carotenoids, once accumulated in adipose tissue, remain indefinitely or are mobilised by the animal at a later stage warrants further investigation (Dunne et al., 2009).

Dairy breeds have been reported as having more yellow subcutaneous fat than British or European beef breeds with relatively little difference between beef breeds (Dunne et al., 2009). The data in the current study support the latter observation.

4.1.4 Muscle drip and cook loss

Drip loss or exudate from beef is a source of economic loss to the processor and may make the meat visually unattractive to the consumer. Hornick et al. (1998) reported that compensatory growth prior to slaughter resulted in greater drip loss when the restriction period was extended and suggested that this may be related to the lower fat content of the muscle as a low fat content in meat is associated with higher water content. Keane and Allen (2009) and Moloney et al. (2008) observed no effect of feeding level prior to slaughter drip loss from muscle with a similar fat concentration.

In the current study the higher drip loss for BB could not be explained by pH at 48 h *post mortem*, Cuvelier et al. (2006b) suggested that BB bulls have greater drip loss from muscle due to a higher meat water content. Higher moisture content in LTL from BB compared to AN was also observed in the current study supporting this suggestion.

Within BB, L-H animals had a greater cooking loss compared to meat from the H-H animals; however, this was not observed within AN. Hornick et al. (1998) reported that BB bulls that exhibited compensatory growth had great cooking loss supporting the finding in the current study. In contrast, Moloney et al. (2008) reported no difference in cooking loss in Friesian steers suggesting that perhaps differences in cooking loss resulting from compensatory growth are genotype specific.

4.1.5 Warner Bratzler shear force and sensory tenderness

Tenderness is a key aspect of the eating quality of meat as indicated by consumer research (Becker et al., 1998; Moloney et al., 2001). Tenderness is frequently measured objectively as WBSF and/or subjectively using trained assessors. The moderate negative associations between the two measures of tenderness observed in the present study is similar to many other studies (Caine et al., 2003; Peachey et al., 2002) suggesting that WBSF may not always be a reliable indicator of tenderness as perceived by the consumer.

The higher WBSF in LTL from the L-H steers is consistent with the trend reported by Moloney et al. (2008) of a higher WBSF in LTL from animals that exhibited compensatory growth compared to LTL from those on a continuous plane of nutrition.

The WBSF results are consistent with the sensory data in that tenderness (tendency) and ease of cutting were lower and toughness on biting was higher in meat from L-H compared to H-H steers. Sinclair et al. (2001) reported that pre-slaughter growth rate had no effect on meat tenderness; Therkildsen et al. (2008; 2011) reported that a compensatory growth feeding regime may improve tenderness in meat from Friesian bulls and cows, but this was muscle-type specific in bulls; Moloney et al. (2008) reported a tendency for a decrease in beef tenderness due to compensatory growth. The data in the present study support the latter observation. In the current study, factors that influence tenderness such as muscle composition and the pattern of pH and temperature decline (Maltin et al., 2003) were similar across feeding treatments. While growth rate close to slaughter was similar for both feeding treatments, L-H steers grew faster in the early part of the re-alimentation period. This suggests that early compensatory growth had an impact on tenderness that persisted subsequently and warrants further investigation.

Cuvelier et al. (2006a, b) found no difference in WBSF values in meat from AN and BB bulls aged for 2 days and 8 days, respectively. In the current study, where the meat was aged for 14 days, the greater WBSF values observed in LTL from BB compared to AN animals was supported by the sensory tenderness. That this difference between genotypes was removed when the data were adjusted for differences in intramuscular fat concentration highlights the interaction between tenderness and fatness in muscle and the difficulty in comparing genotypes *per se*. Similarly, when Homer et al. (1997) adjusted sensory data for a range of breeds, to the average fatness of each breed, there was no difference in tenderness between steaks from AN and BB sired cattle. More directly, Chambaz et al. (2003) compared the

sensory characteristics of muscle from AN, Charolais and Limousin steers slaughtered at a common intra-muscular fat concentration and found no difference between genotypes (muscle from Simmental steers was rated more tender than AN and Limousin).

It should be noted that though differences were observed between feeding treatments for WBSF, even the higher average value of 33 N recorded for the L-H would be considered tender (Huffmann et al., 1996).

4.1.6 Sensory flavour analysis

Hocquette et al. (2010) reported that intramuscular fat concentration directly affected juiciness and flavour of beef but that tenderness was influenced indirectly. As the difference in intra-muscular fat concentration due to feeding treatment was small, the minor effects on flavour characteristics were not unexpected. A difference of 2 units on an 8 point scale for overall liking is unlikely to be detected by an untrained consumer.

Sinclair et al. (2001) reported that juiciness, flavour and overall acceptability were greater in LTL from AN compared to Charolais steers. However, when sensory analysis was carried out on *M. biceps femoris* from the same animals there was no difference in juiciness or beef flavour between the genotypes. The higher juiciness of LTL from AN in the present study most likely reflects the greater intramuscular fat concentration since, when the data were adjusted differences in juiciness and most of the other “flavours” disappeared. This observation is in agreement with Hornick et al. (2000) and Sinclair et al. (2001). Similarly, Homer et al. (1997) found no differences

across breeds for juiciness, beef flavour and abnormal flavour. Chambaz et al. (2003) observed no difference between the breeds examined for flavour intensity and preference. While flavour liking and overall liking, which is arguably a better indication of consumer satisfaction, remained higher for AN when adjusted for intramuscular fat concentration in the present study, the magnitude of the difference is unlikely to be detected by an untrained consumer.

5.0 Conclusion

Under the conditions of this experiment, nutritional restriction followed by compensatory growth during a 200 day re-alimentation period had no lasting effects, either positive or negative, on most of the meat quality characteristics measured. However, this feeding regime increased WBSF and tended to decrease overall liking but it is unlikely that these effects would be detected by an untrained consumer.

Compared to meat from BB steers, meat from AN steers was rated similarly for tenderness, but higher for many of the flavour characteristics examined. While adjustment for intramuscular fat concentration removed some of these differences, small genotype-specific flavour differences remained. The lack of interaction between genotype and feeding treatments leads us to reject our main hypothesis and it is concluded that genotype has a greater effect of meat quality than compensatory growth.

Acknowledgements

S.M. Keady was in receipt of a Teagasc Walsh Fellowship. The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of: Grange farm staff, Karen Hussey, Teagasc Food

Research Centre, Ashtown, Dublin and the management and staff at Meadow Meats,
Rathdowney, Co. Laois, Ireland.

References

AOAC. 1990. *Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 15th edition. Washington, DC, Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

Becker, T., Benner, E., & Glitsch, K. (1998). Summary report on consumer behaviour towards meat in Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. Hohenheim, Germany: Department of Agricultural Policy and Agricultural Economics.

Campion, B., Keane, M. G., Kenny, D. A., & Berry, D. P. (2009). Evaluation of estimated genetic merit for carcass weight in beef cattle: Blood metabolites, carcass measurements, carcass composition and selected non-carcass components. *Livestock Science*, 126, 100-111.

Carpenter, C. E., Cornforth, D. P., & Whittier, D. (2001). Consumer preferences for beef color and packaging did not affect eating satisfaction. *Meat Science*, 57, 359-363.

518 Caine, W. R., Aalhus, J. L., Best, D. R., Dugan, M. E. R., & Jeremiah, L. E. (2003).
519 Relationship of texture profile analysis and Warner-Bratzler shear force with
520 sensory characteristics of beef rib steaks. *Meat Science*, 64, 333-339.
521

522 Chambaz, A., Scheeder, M.R.L., Kreuzer, M., & Dufey, P.A. (2003). Meat quality of
523 Angus, Simmental, Charolais and Limousin steers compared at the same
524 intramuscular fat content. *Meat Science*, 63, 491-500.
525

526 Cuvelier, C., Cabaraux, J. F., Dufrasne, I., Clinquart, A., Hocquette, J. F., Istasse, L.,
527 & Hornick, J.-L. (2006a). Performance, slaughter characteristics and meat quality
528 of young bulls from Belgian Blue, Limousin and Aberdeen Angus breeds fattened
529 with a sugar-beet pulp or cereal-based diet. *Animal Science*, 82, 125-132.
530

531 Cuvelier, C., Clinquart, A., Hocquette, J. F., Cabaraux, J. F., Dufrasne, I., Istasse, L.,
532 & Honrick, J.-L. (2006b). Comparison of composition and quality traits of meat
533 from young finishing bulls from Belgian Blue, Limousin and Aberdeen Angus
534 breeds. *Meat Science*, 74, 522-531.
535

536 Dunne, P. G., Monahan, F. J., O'Mara, F. P., & Moloney, A. P. (2009). Colour of
537 bovine subcutaneous adipose tissue: A review of contributory factors, associations
538 with carcass and meat quality and its potential utility in authentication of dietary
539 history. *Meat Science*, 81, 28-45
540

- Hansen, S., Therkildsen, M., & Byrne, D. V. (2006). Effects of a compensatory growth strategy and physical properties of meat from young bulls. *Meat Science*, 74, 628-643.
- Hocquette, J. F., Gondret, F., Baéza, E., Médale, F., Jurie, C., & Pethick, D. W. (2010). Intramuscular fat content in meat-producing animals: development, genetic and nutritional control, and identification of putative markers. *Animal*, 4, 303-319.
- Homer, D.B., Cuthbertson, A., Homer, D.L.M., & McMenamim, P. (1997). Eating quality of beef from different breeds. *Animal Science*, 64, 403-408.
- Honikel, K. O. (1998). Reference methods for the assessment of physical characteristics of meat. *Meat Science*, 49, 447-457.
- Hornick, J. L., Van Eenaeme, C., Clinquart, A., Diez, m., & Istasse, L. (1998). Different periods of feed restriction before compensatory growth in Belgian Blue bulls: 1. Animal performance, nitrogen balance, meat characteristics and fat composition. *Journal of Animal Science*, 76, 249-259.
- Hornick, J. L., Van Eenaeme, C., Gérard, O., Dufrasne, I., & Istasse, L. (2000). Mechanisms of reduced and compensatory growth. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*, 19, 121-132.

- Huffman, K.L., Miller, M.F., Hoover, L.C., Wu, C.K., Brittin, H.C., & Ramsey, C.B.,
(1996). Effect of beef tenderness on consumer satisfaction with steaks consumed
in the home and restaurant. *Journal of Animal Science* 74, 91-97.
- Keady, S.M. (2011). Examination of the expression of genes and proteins controlling
M. longissimus thoracis et lumborum growth in steers. PhD thesis, National
University of Ireland Maynooth.
- Keane, M. G., & Allen, P. (2009). A note on muscle composition and colour of
Holstein-Friesian, Piedmontese × Holstein-Friesian and Romagnola × Holstein-
Friesian steers. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 48, 103-108.
- Keane, M. G., Dunne, P. G., Kenny, D. A., & Berry, D. P. (2011). Effects of genetic
merit for carcass weight, breed type and slaughter weight on performance and
carcass traits of beef × dairy steers. *Animal*, 5, 182-194.
- Lehnert, S. A., Byrne, K. A., Reverter, A., Nattress, G. S., Greenwood, P. L., Wang,
Y. H., Hudson, N. J., & Harper, G. S. (2006). Gene expression profiling of bovine
skeletal muscle in response to and during recovery from chronic and severe
undernutrition. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 3239-3250.
- Liu, Q., Scheller, K. K., Arp, S. C., Schaefer, D. M., & Frigg, M. (1996). Color
coordinates for assessment of dietary vitamin E effects on beef color stability.
Journal of Animal Science, 74, 106-116.

- Lochner, J. V., Kauffman, R. G., & Marsh, B. B. (1980). Early-postmortem cooling rate and beef tenderness. *Meat Science*, 4, 227-241.
- Maltin, C., Balcerzak, D., Tilley, R., & Delday, M. (2003). Determinants of meat quality: tenderness. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62, 337-347.
- Moloney, A. P., Mooney, M. T., Kerry, J. P., & Troy, D. J. (2001). Producing tender and flavoursome beef with enhanced nutritional characteristics. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 60, 221-229.
- Moloney, A. P., Keane, M. G., Mooney, M. T., Rezek, K., Smulders, F. J. M., & Troy, D. J. (2008). Energy supply patterns for finishing steers: Feed conversion efficiency, components of bodyweight gain and meat quality. *Meat Science*, 79, 86-97.
- MSA (2013). Meat Standards Australia beef information kit. Meat & Livestock Australia Limited.
- Pang, W. Y., Earley, B., Sweeney, T., Pirani, S., Gath, V., & Crowe, M. A. (2009). Effects of banding or burdizzo castration of bulls on neutrophil phagocytosis and respiratory burst, CD62-L expression, and serum interleukin-8 concentration. *Journal of Animal Science*, 87, 3187-3195.

- 613 Peachey, B. M., Purchas, R. W., & Duizer, L. M. (2002). Relationships between
614 sensory and objective measures of meat tenderness of beef m. longissimus
615 thoracis from bulls and steers. *Meat Science*, 60, 211-218.
- 616
- 617 Shackelford, S. D., Koohmaraie, M., & Savell, J. W. (1994). Evaluation of
618 *longissimus dorsi* muscle pH at three hours *Post mortem* as predictor of beef
619 tenderness. *Meat Science*, 37, 195-204.
- 620
- 621 Sinclair, K. D., Lobley, G. E., Horgan, G. W., Kyle, D. J., Porter, A. D., Matthews, K.
622 R., Warkup, C. C., & Maltin, C. A. (2001). Factors influencing beef eating quality
623 1. Effects of nutritional regimen and genotype on organoleptic properties and
624 instrumental texture. *Animal Science* 72, 269-277.
- 625
- 626 Statistical Analysis Software Institute (SAS), (2008). SAS/STAT. SAS Systems for
627 windows. Release 9.1.3. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C., USA.
- 628
- 629 Therkildsen, M., Houbak, M. B., & Bryne, D. V. (2008) Feeding strategy for
630 improving tenderness has opposite effects in two different muscle. *Meat Science*,
631 80, 1037-1045.
- 632
- 633 Therkildsen, M., Stolzenbach, S., & Bryne, D. V. (2011). Sensory profiling of textural
634 properties of meat from dairy cows exposed to a compensatory finishing strategy.
635 *Meat Science*, 87, 73-80.
- 636

637 Warner, R. D., Greenwood, P. L., Pethick, D. W., & Ferguson, D. M. (2010). Genetic
638 and environment effects on meat quality. *Meat Science*, 86, 171-183.

639

640 Warriss, P.D. (2010). *Meat Science: An Introductory Text*. (2nd ed.). CABI
641 Publishing, London, UK.

642

643 West, R. L. (1974). Red to white fiber ratios as an index of double muscling in beef
644 cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 38, 1165-1175.

645

646

Table 1
Definition of terms used for sensory analysis of beef samples

Term	Definition
Tenderness	Texture of the sample for tough to tender
Juiciness	Juiciness of the sample from dry to juicy
Beef	Amount of cooked beef flavour
Abnormal	Amount of abnormal beef flavour
<i>On cutting</i>	
Ease of cutting	Ease with which sample is cut through by knife
Cleanness of cut	Appearance of sample on cutting with knife (jagged fibres to very clean cutting)
<i>Initial eating</i>	
Toughness	Amount of resistance to teeth on initial chewing
Juiciness	Amount of moisture in the sample on initial chewing
Sponginess	Amount of springiness in the sample, bounce back to bite
Crunchy	Amount of perceived crispness in the sample on initial chewing
<i>On eating</i>	
Toughness	Toughness on eating
Moisture	The perceived moisture content in the sample during eating
Pulpy	Pulpiness in the sample on eating
Chewiness	The total perceived effort required to prepare the sample to a state ready for swallowing
Gristle	Amount of gristle in the sample
Fibres	Amount of perceived fibres in the sample on eating
Greasiness	Amount of perceived oil or fatty matter in the sample on eating
Dissoluble	Degree to which it melts or disintegrates in mouth
<i>Residue</i>	
Greasy	Amount of greasy coating in the mouth
Swallow	Degree to which the residue is easy to swallow
Particles	Fine particles in residue
Pulpy	Pulpiness in the residue
Mouthfeel	Sensation in the mouth after chewing (dry or wet)
<i>Flavour</i>	
Greasy	The taste associated with fresh oil and fat.
Bloody	The taste associated with raw undercooked meat
Livery	The taste associated with liver flavour
Metallic	Tangy metal taste
Bitter	The taste on the tongue associated with caffeine/quinine
Sweet	The taste on the tongue associated with sugars
Rancid	The taste associated with rancid oil and fat.
Fishy	The taste associated with fish.
Acidic	The taste associated with acids
Cardboard	The taste associated with smell of damp cardboard
Vegetable	Flavour of green vegetables and grass
Dairy	The taste associated with milk products

Table 2

Effect of genotype and feeding treatment on live animal and carcass characteristics of Aberdeen Angus (AN) and Belgian Blue (BB) sired steers.

Variable	Genotype (G)			Feeding treatment ¹ (F)			P-value	
	AN	BB	SED	H-H	L-H	SED	G	F
Live weight, kg								
Start, d 0	307	288	7.010	296	298	6.894	0.79	1.00
End of differential feeding period, d 99	404	390	14.124	438	356	6.894	0.99	<.0001
Realimentation, d 132	452	438	13.616	474	416	6.894	0.99	<.0001
Slaughter, d 299	655	644	10.914	669	630	6.989	1.00	0.04
Live weight gain, kg/d								
Differential feeding period, d 0 to 99	1.06	1.12	0.052	1.55	0.63	0.050	0.28	<.0001
Realimentation period, d 99 to 131	1.50	1.50	0.097	1.26	1.74	0.093	0.98	<.0001
Realimentation period, d 131 to 195	1.65	1.90	0.07	1.63	1.91	0.06	0.0007	0.0001
Realimentation period, d 195 to 253	1.34	1.33	0.09	1.34	1.33	0.09	0.89	0.87
Realimentation period, d 253 to 299	0.91	0.64	0.18	0.84	0.71	0.17	0.14	0.47
Entire period, d 0 to 299	1.25	1.26	0.038	1.33	1.18	0.036	0.81	0.0004
Carcass weight	354	369	5.860	373	350	5.538	0.02	0.0003
Carcass conformation	7.25	9.08	0.411	8.33	8.02	0.402	<.0001	0.44
Fat class	10.39	7.96	0.478	9.59	8.75	0.466	<.0001	0.08
Fat colour								
<i>L</i> (lightness)	68.07	67.65	0.815	67.84	67.87	0.794	0.61	0.97
<i>a</i>	7.73	7.35	0.658	7.75	7.34	0.640	0.57	0.52
<i>b</i>	14.82	15.37	0.346	15.14	15.06	0.336	0.12	0.81
Hue ²	62.77	64.75	1.626	63.14	64.39	1.585	0.23	0.44
Chroma ³	16.66	17.02	0.566	16.96	16.72	0.546	0.53	0.66

¹H-H = *ad libitum* access to feed throughout the study; L-H = Restricted feeding for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to feed until slaughter. Production data from Keady (2011)

²Hue = $[\tan^{-1} (b/a)] \times [180/\pi]$.

³Chroma = saturation/colour intensity = $\sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)}$.

Table 3

Effect of genotype and feeding treatment on characteristics of the *longissimus thoracis et lumborum* muscle from Aberdeen Angus (AN) and Belgian Blue (BB) sired steers.

Variable	Genotype (G)			Feeding treatment ¹ (F)			P-value	
	AN	BB	SED	H-H	L-H	SED	G	F
Composition								
Protein, %	21.69	22.41	0.327	21.87	22.24	0.318	0.04	0.25
Moisture, %	70.35	73.37	0.684	71.67	72.04	0.665	0.0001	0.58
Fat, %	7.45	3.64	0.787	5.90	5.18	0.767	<.0001	0.35
Ash, %	1.09	1.11	0.030	1.08	1.12	0.030	0.77	0.27
Drip loss, %	1.41	2.07	0.209	1.67	1.81	0.200	0.004	0.48
pH (48h)	5.53	5.54	0.040	5.53	5.54	0.039	0.66	0.65
Muscle colour								
<i>L</i> (lightness)	35.18	37.37	0.491	36.30	36.25	0.479	0.0001	0.90
<i>a</i>	15.09	14.19	0.329	15.04	14.24	0.321	0.01	0.02
<i>B</i>	8.51	9.01	0.197	8.91	8.61	0.192	0.02	0.13
Hue ²	29.62	32.60	0.471	30.84	31.38	0.448	<.0001	0.24
Chroma ³	17.50	16.94	0.358	17.63	16.82	0.348	0.13	0.03
WBSF ⁴ , N	25.29	32.63	2.801	25.09	32.83	2.731	0.014	0.009
WBSF ⁵ , N	27.94	30.59	3.629	25.80	32.70	2.651	0.471	0.016
Cooking loss ⁶ , %	28.71	28.37	0.572	27.89	29.19	0.559	0.56	0.03

¹H-H = *ad libitum* access to feed throughout the study; L-H = Restricted feeding for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to feed until slaughter.

²Hue = $[\tan^{-1} (b/a)] \times [180/\pi]$.

³Chroma = saturation/colour intensity = $\sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)}$.

⁴Warner-Bratzler shear force.

⁵Warner-Bratzler shear force adjusted using intramuscular fat concentration as a covariate

⁶Genotype \times feeding treatment interaction (P = 0.03). Values equal 28.70, 28.72, 27.07, 29.67 for AN/H-H, AN/L-H, BB/H-H, BB/L-H, respectively.

Table 4

Effect of genotype and feeding treatment on the sensory characteristics of *M. longissimus thoracis et lumborum* muscle from Aberdeen Angus (AN) and Belgian Blue (BB) sired steers

Trait	Genotype (G)		SED	Feeding treatment ¹ (F)		SED	P-value	
	AN	BB		H-H	L-H		G	F
Attributes ²								
Tenderness	4.58	4.21	0.231	4.60	4.19	0.225	0.12	0.08
Juiciness	5.23	4.95	0.109	5.12	5.06	0.107	0.02	0.60
Beef	4.60	4.23	0.079	4.43	4.40	0.078	<.0001	0.72
Abnormal	2.34	2.60	0.088	2.40	2.54	0.085	0.005	0.12
Hedonic ²								
Flavour liking	5.13	4.67	0.100	4.99	4.81	0.098	0.0001	0.07
Overall liking	4.81	4.35	0.141	4.71	4.45	0.129	0.002	0.09
Cutting ⁴								
Ease of cutting	50.02	44.51	3.301	50.85	43.69	3.178	0.11	0.03
Cleanness of cut	60.69	57.27	2.398	59.05	58.05	2.328	0.16	0.43
Initial Bite ⁴								
Toughness	46.48	53.89	3.338	47.34	53.03	3.231	0.04	0.09
Juiciness	55.38	49.40	1.448	52.42	52.37	1.412	0.0003	0.97
Sponginess	23.42	23.03	1.326	24.19	22.26	1.256	0.77	0.14
Crunchy	29.23	28.54	1.831	28.11	29.66	1.749	0.71	0.38
Eating ⁴								
Toughness	44.32	51.50	3.315	45.20	50.63	3.231	0.04	0.10
Moisture	55.91	49.73	1.665	53.44	52.20	1.624	0.001	0.45
Pulpy	61.65	55.25	1.811	58.63	58.27	1.766	0.0015	0.84
Chewiness	42.13	48.18	3.349	42.73	47.59	3.267	0.08	0.15
Gristle	8.23	7.61	1.391	7.66	8.18	1.356	0.66	0.70
Fibres	46.38	50.19	2.021	48.56	48.01	1.970	0.07	0.78
Greasiness	18.52	14.59	1.335	16.38	16.73	1.301	0.007	0.79
Dissoluble	43.13	40.41	2.86	43.58	39.95	2.736	0.35	0.20
Residue ⁴								
Greasy	20.07	15.25	1.619	17.12	18.20	1.579	0.006	0.50
Swallow	54.71	48.09	2.727	54.15	48.65	2.598	0.022	0.04
Particles	43.65	46.33	1.120	45.53	44.45	1.069	0.024	0.32
Mouth feel	59.27	52.77	1.577	55.87	56.17	1.538	0.0003	0.85
Pulpy	61.85	56.00	1.491	59.87	57.99	1.454	0.0006	0.21
Flavour ⁴								
Greasy	18.00	11.31	1.349	14.51	14.81	1.315	<.0001	0.82
Bloody	5.62	5.60	0.733	5.80	5.42	0.714	0.97	0.59
Livery ³	0.65	0.64	0.018	0.64	0.65	0.017	0.70	0.53
	(5.60)	(5.96)		(5.96)	(5.60)			
Metallic	9.22	10.77	1.033	10.42	9.57	1.007	0.15	0.40
Bitter ³	1.40	1.52	0.043	1.43	1.49	0.042	0.007	0.17
	(3.84)	(5.34)		(4.18)	(4.92)			
Sweet	16.95	11.91	1.383	14.71	14.16	1.332	0.001	0.68
Rancid ³	1.25	1.27	0.081	1.24	1.27	0.079	0.82	0.69
	(0.41)	(0.40)		(0.43)	(0.38)			
Fishy	2.65	2.51	0.199	2.51	2.66	0.187	0.49	0.43
Acidic	5.65	8.59	0.628	6.88	7.36	0.602	<.0001	0.43
Cardboard	13.02	17.29	1.108	14.32	15.98	1.079	0.0007	0.14
Vegetable	12.25	14.24	0.762	13.31	13.18	0.742	0.01	0.86
Dairy	24.40	16.34	1.500	21.76	18.97	1.461	<.0001	0.07

¹ H-H = *ad libitum* access to feed throughout the study; L-H = Restricted feeding for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to feed until slaughter.

² Eight point scale.

³ Adjusted data - values in parenthesis represent back transformed means.

⁴ One hundred line scale.

Table 5

Effect of genotype and feeding treatment on the sensory characteristics of *M. longissimus thoracis et lumborum* muscle from Aberdeen Angus (AN) and Belgian Blue (BB) sired steers adjusted using intramuscular fat concentration as a covariate

Trait	Genotype (G)		SED	Feeding treatment ¹ (F)		SED	P-value	
	AN	BB		H-H	L-H		G	F
Attributes ³								
Tenderness	4.46	4.31	0.313	4.57	4.19	0.228	0.63	0.12
Juiciness	5.08	5.06	0.133	5.08	5.07	0.096	0.85	0.93
Beef	4.53	4.28	0.104	4.41	4.40	0.076	0.03	0.95
Abnormal	2.35	2.59	0.121	2.41	2.54	0.088	0.06	0.14
Hedonic ³								
Flavour liking	5.04	4.75	0.130	4.97	4.82	0.095	0.03	0.12
Overall liking	4.73	4.49	0.141	4.70	4.52	0.131	0.002	0.09
Cutting ⁵								
Ease of cutting	49.79	45.84	4.474	51.19	44.45	3.275	0.39	0.05
Cleanness of cut	60.66	57.81	3.293	60.08	58.38	2.406	0.39	0.48
Initial Bite ⁵								
Toughness	47.95	51.33	4.419	47.25	52.02	3.228	0.45	0.15
Juiciness	53.31	50.99	1.707	51.83	52.47	1.247	0.18	0.61
Sponginess	23.22	23.54	1.762	24.26	22.51	1.296	0.86	0.19
Crunchy	29.30	28.46	2.471	28.12	29.64	1.814	0.73	0.41
Eating ⁵								
Toughness	46.61	49.63	4.417	45.81	50.43	3.227	0.49	0.16
Moisture	53.70	51.44	2.010	52.81	52.32	1.469	0.27	0.74
Pulpy	60.15	56.41	2.382	58.21	58.35	1.740	0.12	0.93
Chewiness	43.46	47.16	4.576	43.10	47.52	3.343	0.42	0.19
Gristle	8.37	7.50	1.919	7.69	8.17	1.402	0.66	0.74
Fibres	47.14	49.60	2.763	48.77	47.96	2.018	0.38	0.69
Greasiness	16.97	16.60	1.517	16.23	17.34	1.112	0.80	0.32
Dissoluble	42.33	43.00	3.739	44.05	41.27	2.738	0.85	0.31
Residue ⁵								
Greasy	19.40	18.75	1.795	17.98	20.17	1.319	0.72	0.11
Swallow	53.98	50.11	3.584	54.46	49.64	2.631	0.29	0.08
Particles	43.67	46.36	1.509	45.55	44.48	1.109	0.09	0.34
Mouth feel	58.35	54.93	1.959	56.12	57.16	1.437	0.09	0.47
Pulpy	60.06	57.39	1.851	59.37	58.08	1.352	0.16	0.35
Flavour ⁵								
Greasy	15.39	13.55	1.294	13.79	15.14	0.935	0.17	0.16
Bloody	5.69	5.54	1.028	5.82	5.41	0.743	0.88	0.58
Livery ⁴	0.64	0.65	0.025	0.64	0.65	0.017	0.63	0.40
	(5.96)	(5.60)		(5.96)	(5.60)			
Metallic	10.19	9.93	1.356	10.68	9.44	0.980	0.85	0.22
Bitter ⁴	1.44	1.49	0.056	1.45	1.49	0.040	0.40	0.31
	(4.30)	(4.93)		(4.42)	(4.93)			
Sweet	16.47	14.11	1.717	15.19	15.38	1.236	0.18	0.87
Rancid ⁴	1.25	1.26	0.114	1.24	1.27	0.082	0.99	0.74
	(0.41)	(0.40)		(0.42)	(0.38)			
Fishy	2.69	2.44	0.270	2.50	2.63	0.195	0.38	0.53
Acidic	5.87	7.69	0.791	6.69	6.87	0.569	0.03	0.76
Cardboard	14.67	15.87	1.287	14.77	15.77	0.930	0.35	0.29
Vegetable	12.54	13.99	1.058	13.39	13.14	0.765	0.18	0.75
Dairy	23.61	18.78	1.848	22.16	20.23	1.332	0.02	0.16

¹ H-H = *ad libitum* access to feed throughout the study; L-H = Restricted feeding for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to feed until slaughter. ³ Eight point scale. ⁴ Adjusted data - values in parenthesis represent back transformed means. ⁵ One hundred point scale.

Table 6Associations¹ between production variables and meat quality traits

Variable	CW ²	ADG ³	WBsf ⁴	pHU ⁵	IMF ⁶	Drip loss	Tenderness ⁷
ADG ³	0.10						
WBsf ⁴	-0.28	0.28					
pHU ⁵	-0.24	0.23	0.13				
IMF ⁶	-0.05	0.107	-0.41**	-0.02			
Drip loss	0.27	0.08	0.24	-0.13	-0.58***		
Tenderness ⁷	-0.07	-0.11	-0.45**	-0.15	0.32*	-0.45**	
Cook loss	-0.33*	-0.23	0.18	0.006	0.007	-0.43**	0.13

¹Values presented are Spearman correlation coefficients *r* from unadjusted data.²Cold Carcass weight³Average daily gain prior to slaughter (day 253-299)⁴Warner-Bratzler shear force⁵Ultimate pH at 48 h⁶Intramuscular fat percentage⁷Sensory tenderness* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$.

Fig. 1. *Post mortem* pH and temperature decline. AN = Aberdeen Angus; BB = Belgian Blue. H-H = *ab libitum* access to feed throughout the study; L-H = Restricted feeding for 99 days followed by *ad libitum* access to feed until slaughter.

Figure

